UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In Re: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation)) MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC) Phoenix, Arizona) February 16, 2018

> BEFORE: THE HONORABLE DAVID G. CAMPBELL, JUDGE REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TELEPHONIC HEARING

Official Court Reporter: Elizabeth A. Lemke, RDR, CRR, CPE Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312 401 West Washington Street, SPC. 34 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2150 (602) 322-7247

Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription

1		APPEARANCES
2	Plaintiffs' Counsel:	Co-Lead/Liaison Counsel and State/Federal Liaison
3		LOPEZ McHUGH
4		By: Ramon Rossi Lopez, Esq. 100 Bayview Circle, Suite 5600
5		Newport Beach, CA 92660
6	Plaintiffs'	Steering Committee Counsel:
7		GALLAGHER & KENNEDY By: Mark S. O'Connor, Esq.
8		C. Lincoln Combs, Esq. 2575 East Camelback Road, Suite 1100
9		Phoenix, AZ 85016
10	For the Plan	intiffs:
11		HEAVISIDE REED ZAIC By: Julia Reed Zaic, Esq.
12		Laura Elizabeth Smith, Esq.
13		312 Broadway, Suite 203 Laguna Beach, CA 92651
14		SNYDER & WENNER, PC By: David A. Wenner, Esq.
15		12725 W. Indian School Road, Suite E-101 Avondale, AZ 85392
16	For the Defe	endants:
17 18		NORTH MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH By: Richard B. North, Jr., Esq.
19		Matthew B. Lerner, Esq. Bradee Kowalzyk, Esq.
20		Taylor T. Daly, Esq. Elizabeth C. Helm, Esq.
		201 17th Street NW, Suite 1700
21		Atlanta, GA 30363
22		SNELL & WILMER By: James R. Condo, Esq. By: Amanda Christine Sheridan, Esq.
		400 East Van Buren
24		Phoenix, AZ 85004
25		

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>	
2	THE CLERK: In the Matter of MDL 2015-2641, Bard IVC	
3	Filters Products Liability Litigation on for a telephonic	
4	hearing.	
5	Will the parties please announce.	
6	MR. O'CONNOR: Mark O'Connor.	
7	MR. LOPEZ: Go ahead, Mark. I'm sorry.	
8	MR. O'CONNOR: Good afternoon. Mark O'Connor,	
9	co-lead for the plaintiffs.	
10	MR. LOPEZ: Ramon Lopez, also co-lead for the	
11	plaintiffs.	
12	MR. NORTH: Richard North for the defendants.	
13	MR. LERNER: Matthew Lerner for the defendants.	
14	MR. CONDO: And Jim Condo on behalf of defendants.	
15	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, everybody.	
16	I understand there were several issues you wanted to	
17	run by me. Whoever wishes to speak first, go ahead.	
18	MR. O'CONNOR: All right. Your Honor, Mark O'Connor.	
19	I'll lead off. Specifically and we talked to	
20	Mr. North about this and told him we were going to be raising	
21	the issue of exhibit lists and deposition designations.	
22	Let me start with the exhibit list. I know that both	
23	sides are working on this. And tentatively, there was going	
24	to be an exchange today. Our first issue is we would like to	
25	exchange the initial list by Monday.	

But the question will be when can we have a final joint list with objections to you? And our request is we would like to get that by March 2. We think the additional time is necessary to go through and reduce duplicates.

There are just literally hundreds of exhibits; but reduce duplicates. And then after we get a list that's narrowed and also remove overlap between the parties, we think we'll have a better shot at seeing what we can agree to by way of pretty admission and have a better sense.

Also pending, rulings on the motions in limine. What objections should be stayed for you to rule on. So that's the first issue is extending time for the final list to you -- the final joint list with objections extending that to March 2.

The other issue that's going on right now, both sides, and we've been working on this for quite a while now, exchanging deposition designations and objections and both sides have been cooperating.

But here again, we recognize now that with the length of these depositions and pending rulings on the motions in limine, we think additional time would be helpful to everybody to again look at the deposition designations that have been done, see what can be eliminated or reduced or what agreements we may be able to arrive at between both sides.

And, again, we're requesting that that be continued and that we submit that final list to you on March 2nd as

1 well.

So those are the first two issues. And I know Mr. Lopez has another issue he wanted to bring up.

Ramon, did I cover the dates we were thinking of?

MR. LOPEZ: Yea. And just to supplement that, Your

Honor -- Ramon Lopez.

The exhibit lists, one of the things that we want to do -- I think both sides -- we talked to Mr. North about this and we did this in the first trial, you know, there's always a lot more exhibits that are on that list than you probably intend to use, but you put it on the list in case something comes up and, you know, you don't want to be penalized because you didn't have something on the list.

And the idea is actually to make this a lot easier task and not only for us but for you so that you'll know, you know, probably 99 percent of the documents that you really need to pay attention to either because there's a pending exhibit or maybe we have resolved those things by way of stipulation.

And with the volume of depositions -- I mean, the volume of the documents and the duplicates and just being able to get this into a joint list is a fairly daunting task but I think one that will prove to be a lot more efficient from the standpoint of certainly your time and/or what we intend to ask you to -- in other words, we may each have -- I don't want to

throw out a number, but it's going a be a scary number. Let's just say 500. Or maybe there's only 200 that we know based on our final deposition cuts and what we now have planned to do with the live witnesses and the expert witnesses. That these are the ones that we would like you to look at before trial.

And maybe we can even narrow that scope down to, you know, a double-digit number because both sides have agreed to either the admissibility of them or the foundation or any objections that exist. So that's with respect to the documents.

Now, the depositions, we started this process -Mr. North's office and mine and others that have been
involved, we have been through this before. And, you know,
before you get motions in limine ruled on, before you really
have designed your case the way, you know, you intend to, you
tend to over-designate when all of a sudden you realize that
you've got more than you can possibly play, especially if
you're dealing with a time allotment and we will have that
done.

Just so you know, we started this about three weeks ago -- two or three weeks ago and we have gone through a formal list of counters, counters to counters, objections.

And the final phase of that is going to happen.

Mr. Lerner probably knows this date better than I. I think

it's Monday, isn't it, Matt, that we finally know what each

1 side is going to designate and counter and everyone's 2 objections? 3 MR. LERNER: Yea. I don't have the date in front of 4 me but it's sometime next week. 5 MR. LOPEZ: So it's the same issue, Judge. I mean 6 I'll just tell you, we've got to get our designations down 7 into at least half, if not more, than we have exchanged. And 8 we're -- we have meetings to do that and we're going to do that as soon as we now see what the scope is of what both 9 10 sides have designated and what objections may exist. 11 You know, some of them are well take and some of 12 these depositions might have the same document discussed, you 13 know, maybe three or four times. And we understand that we 14 can't -- we'd better probably choose which one we're going to 15 use. But a lot is going to depend on what live witnesses are going to be available to us as well. 16 17 So, again, it's a more efficient thing for the Court as much as it is for us to give you something that's 18 manageable as opposed to dumping something on you where maybe 19 half of it is maybe something you don't even need to look at. 20 So I just wanted to add to that. 21 22 The issue that I was going to address was the time 23 that we have allotted to us. I know that when you said you 24 were having to take away that one day, that we would work

within that schedule. And I could tell you that -- and we

25

also discussed, I think at that time, the possibility of 1 2 adding some time back by way of maybe during the day or, you 3 know, an extra half-hour or an hour here. 4 I'm just going to tell you -- I'm just going to say 5 this, and that is, we need that day back somehow. And if 6 it's -- I did my math. If we can get an extra half-hour a 7 day, that gives us that day back or, again, I ask this. 8 If one of those Mondays that were dark, is it possible based on your schedule or the potential of 9 10 rescheduling, to maybe get that day back on a Monday; or if 11 not, to allow us to get back that day by way of extra time, 12 you know, during the eleven days we have allotted. 13 I wouldn't ask for this, Judge, unless I absolutely 14 felt that we needed it to put on a fair trial for this client, 15 knowing, you know, that we still have to scale this thing way, 16 way back based on the evidence and the witnesses and the 17 experts we intend to call. And, you know, with having the Cisson issue now, you 18 know, not favorable to us, and that gives them the -- you 19 know, all of a sudden we're calling Dr. Kessler where we 20 weren't going to had the Cisson ruling gone differently and 21 22 that could be a day by itself. 23 So, anyway, that's what we are requesting on behalf 24 of the defense. We also discussed that with Mr. North a 25 couple days ago.

1 THE COURT: All right. Defense counsel, do you want 2 to comment on those issues? 3 MR. NORTH: Yes, Your Honor. Richard North. 4 With regard to the exhibit list and the deposition 5 designations, we remain ready to proceed in accordance with 6 the Court's schedule. 7 We are concerned -- I don't know what Your Honor's 8 usual practice is, but it seems to me as if the Court might be going to actually look at some exhibit objections and 9 10 deposition objections as a part of the Pretrial Conference. And if that is so, I'm not sure March 2 is workable since that 11 12 is the date of the Pretrial Conference. 13 But we will defer to the Court and what works for the 14 Court on that. We're certainly not going to oppose it if it 15 doesn't pose a problem for the Court. But we are ready to 16 proceed by exchanging exhibit lists with the Plaintiffs today 17 and then doing objections next week. And then the deposition process is just about 18 finished, as Mr. Lerner said, one day next week. I will say 19 it is very unwieldy though, as Mr. Lopez says, because at this 20 point the plaintiffs have designated, I believe at last count, 21 22 36 different depositions. 23 Turning to the times of trial, Your Honor, we 24 appreciate the work that the Court and the parties have all

done to get us to this point and we're mindful of the Court's

25

directives and comments and the time limits that have been agreed upon by all parties at the last three conferences in October, December and January. These time limitations were discussed and consented to by the parties.

As far as Mondays go, our understanding from the Court's comments in the past, is that the Court schedules plea arrangements on Monday, so I suspect that that precludes the use of those days, but I would defer to the Court's calendar on that.

As far as extra hours each day, we're a little concerned about that because of the fact that the burden upon the jurors, those that have commutes and things of that nature, but also, perhaps, more importantly, that when we sent out the jury questionnaires that everybody agreed upon, we advised the jurors, potential jurors, specifically that the trial day would last from 9:00 to five o'clock and go four days a week. And they are probably going to be reporting hardships based on that information. And we're concerned about how an extension might affect that.

So we're ready to proceed within the Court's time limits. We believe they should work if all the parties are efficient in presenting their cases.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you a couple of questions.

What is the thought behind the suggestion you made,

```
Mr. Lopez, and that you alluded to, Mr. North, that I would be
 1
 2
      looking at exhibits before trial?
 3
               I have never done that before and I'm just wondering
 4
      what it is you would expect me to do with a long exhibit list.
 5
      I mean, I certainly can't rule on objections just by looking
 6
      at the exhibit and looking at a one-word or three-word
 7
      objection. The objections have to be addressed in context.
               They're put into the Final Pretrial Order to preserve
 8
      them for trial.
 9
10
               So I quess I'm not understanding why I would look at
      exhibits ahead of trial. But I want to make sure I've -- I
11
12
      understand what you have in mind.
13
               MR. NORTH: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt, but I
14
      think I'm the one that introduced that idea into the
      discussion.
15
16
               I may have misunderstood when the Court said you
17
      wanted the objections submitted prior to trial. I have had a
      few judges, although it's rare, in the past that would look at
18
      objections as part of the pretrial hearing.
19
               I agree with you it's somewhat hard to do in that
20
      context, but I apologize for being confused on that point.
21
22
               MR. O'CONNOR: Your Honor --
23
               MR. LOPEZ: Well -- go ahead, Mark.
24
               MR. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, this is Mark O'Connor.
25
      was going to remind everybody, in terms of exhibits, we have
```

sent out Requests for Admission and we're also trying to simplify or at least bring more organization with charts under the rule that allows summaries.

So we have sent Requests for Admissions to the other side and we're looking at summaries pursuant to Rule 1006.

We have talked together, us and Mr. North, and I do know that there are going to be discussions on what the defense will agree may be admissible in terms of various evidentiary issues. I think one issue may be, of course, which witnesses an exhibit is presented through.

I think that by asking for the additional time, we may be able to help with time in file by reducing a lot of the issues amongst ourselves. So if there is a need to bring an exhibit to the Court's attention during trial, we can streamline that.

But my earlier point was by extending the time, at least from our perspective, is we think that we can certainly narrow a lot of the issues, and hopefully, then narrow a lot of the objections and have an understanding on each side as to what requisites are going to be expected out of each other for admission of certain exhibits.

THE COURT: Well, I understand -- I understand that point, Mr. O'Connor. That's not really what I'm asking about.

I was reacting more to, I think, both Mr. Lopez and Mr. North mentioned the idea of me reviewing exhibits before

1 trial and I had never planned on doing that. 2 So I want to make sure I understand what you all had in mind. Mr. Lopez? 3 MR. LOPEZ: I'm sorry, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Go ahead. 6 MR. LOPEZ: I don't want Mr. North to take full blame 7 for introducing that. I mean, I did as well. And I think maybe we're both under the impression -- and maybe based on 8 the Pretrial Order -- that that was something that you were 9 10 going to do. 11 And, you know, I mean like Mr. North, I have seen it 12 both ways. I mean, Judge Jones was like -- I don't know, you 13 know: Introduce it. If it's admissible, I'll let it in. 14 it isn't, I won't. 15 And, you know, that's -- I would agree that, you know, many times that's the way it goes. And if that's Your 16 17 Honor's preference, I mean, it actually makes it less onerous as far as what we need to do between now and the pretrial. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LOPEZ: I mean, there might be some, Your Honor, 20 that we might want to discuss at the pretrial because maybe we 21 22 want to discuss certain documents in opening or maybe there's 23 certain things that we believe that, you know, because of the 24 nature of them or the use of them or the stipulations we have, 25 we might ask you to pre-admit.

```
1
               But like Mr. O'Connor said, those are discussions
 2
      that are ongoing. But we can reserve a lot of that discussion
 3
      to the pretrial and then just, you know --
 4
               THE COURT:
                           Well, you can raise issues, if you want,
 5
      at the pretrial, but I'm not going to review the exhibits
 6
      beforehand --
 7
               MR. LOPEZ: Okay. Gotcha.
 8
               THE COURT: -- on my own.
 9
               And I think, therefore, it's fine to push the date
10
      back a bit. But I don't want it to be the date of the final
11
      pretrial. Because once that list is in, somebody might look
12
      at it and have an issue that needs to be addressed then.
13
               So let's say that that will be submitted by the close
14
      of business on February 28th, which is -- gives you one full
15
      working day before the pretrial after it's in.
16
               MR. LOPEZ: Okay.
17
               THE COURT: Let me ask a question on depositions.
               It sounds as though some or all of these depositions
18
      are videotaped. And a question I have for you is how you were
19
      planning to have me rule on objections that may be in the
20
      transcript so that you know what portions of the videotape to
21
22
      use?
23
               Have you talked about that and decided what to do?
24
               MR. LOPEZ: Well, right now both sides have
25
      exchanged -- and we have indicated we have a chart of the
```

1 sections of each side's designations where we have listed our 2 objections to those. 3 And, again, this is something that, you know, I'm not sure necessarily has to be done well before trial. 4 5 You know, we will -- the reason why we wanted more 6 time on those is because we realize that the way they have 7 been designated would take us, you know, into April if we did 8 nothing but play videotapes. 9 And, you know, once this process ends, which I think 10 is Monday, might be Tuesday, we -- the plaintiffs' team is 11 going to sit down and we're going to be able to tell the 12 defense, based on each side's designations and prior 13 designations, here is -- you know, here is no longer our 14 40-minute cut but our 22-minute cut. 15 And so those should be ready for both sides to ask you, you know, how much of this are we going to be able to 16 17 play. And then we'll have someone available to cut those and make sure we only play what you allow us to play. 18 So I quess the question is: Is that something you 19 need to do with, you know, 14 depositions before trial? 20 I would --21 22 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lopez, from my perspective the 23 issue is yours. 24 MR. LOPEZ: Right. Okay. 25 If one side or the other wants to urge an THE COURT:

```
1
      objection that's in your 22-minute cut, it seems to me before
 2
      you push "Play" in front of the jury on that 22-minute cut,
      you need to know my ruling on that objection.
 3
 4
               Because if I sustain it --
 5
               MR. LOPEZ:
                          Of course.
 6
               THE COURT:
                           Because if I sustain it, I may say, you
 7
      know, you can't play the next two pages of transcript.
 8
               MR. LOPEZ:
                           Right.
 9
               THE COURT: So I'm not going to, you know, look at
10
      deposition designations and say "I think 22 minutes is too
11
              It's up to you to decide how much time to use.
12
               But my point is if there are objections in the
13
      videotaped depositions that one side or the other wants to
14
      stand on and get a ruling on, then it seems to me that has to
15
      happen before you are playing the videotape. Because if I
16
      sustain it in the middle of the video, we're not going to have
17
      the jury wait while you edit it.
18
               MR. LOPEZ: Right. Of course. I understand.
      quess what I was asking --
19
20
               THE COURT: So, you know, it's up to you.
               MR. LOPEZ: What I was suggesting -- I'm sorry,
21
22
      Judge.
23
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
24
               MR. LOPEZ:
                          Yea.
                                 I was -- I quess I was suggesting
25
      that we could either do all of that before trial or we could
```

do it as we go. In other words, you would have to do it -- it would be nice if we could do it two days before so we could do our editing.

THE COURT: Here's the problem with that, Mr. Lopez.

When I'm in trial and trial ends, I've got a line of cases waiting for my attention, including that night, so I am not going to have time in the evenings during trial to be reviewing deposition objections.

There's going to be lots of other matters demanding my attention. So I think you need to get rulings on those before trial.

Now, one way to do it --

MR. LOPEZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Now, one way to do it would be for you -once you've got your respective designations identified, have
each side identify the objections you really do think are
meritorious; you really do want a ruling on. And then after
those are identified, have the parties submit to me the
transcript with, you know, plaintiffs' designation in yellow,
defendants' designation in blue. And then tabbed or
highlighted in red the objections in that transcript I need to
rule on.

And I can go through those transcripts, look at the objections. I'll dictate an order that rules. I can get that done before trial if I have enough lead time.

1 But it's up to you all, I think, to figure out what 2 you want me to rule on and get it to me ahead of time so I can 3 get you a ruling. MR. LOPEZ: I think what we were suggesting is we 5 could do that by March 2nd if that's enough time for the 6 Court. 7 THE COURT: Well, let me look at that next week. 8 I think that would work, assuming you are judicious in the objections you really want me to rule on. 9 10 mean, they really need to be matters that make a difference and that are meritorious. 11 12 So, you know, if I get a transcript and there's, you 13 know, 32 objections noted, I'm probably going to give it back 14 to you. But assuming, you know, they are really the 15 objections that matter, I think I can get it done if you get 16 those to me on March 2nd. 17 MR. LOPEZ: Okay. THE COURT: And so let's say that the deposition 18 designation date will also be February 28th, close of 19 20 business, and that you will come to the Final Pretrial Conference with the transcripts appropriately marked so I can 21 22 pick up a transcript, flip to the -- I can see exactly where 23 the objection is you want me to rule on. I can read the

I'll just dictate an Order that goes through those objections

couple of pages before and after and I can make a ruling.

24

25

```
1
      and rules.
 2
               Now, I may not get that to you until shortly before
      the start of trial, but I assume you'll have folks who can do
 3
 4
      the editing of the videotape after trial is started if need
 5
      be.
 6
               MR. LOPEZ: Right.
 7
               Your Honor, could I ask, from March 1, we actually
      have our final day that we were all able to get together which
 8
      is February 28, if we can get that to you by, you know, the
 9
10
      close of business on the 1st?
11
               THE COURT: The depositions?
12
               MR. LOPEZ: Or did you want -- the depositions.
13
               Unless you want us to send you some --
14
               THE COURT: No. That's fine.
15
               MR. LOPEZ: Okay.
               THE COURT: We'll say March 1st for the depositions.
16
17
               Now, as far as your time issue goes, Mr. Lopez, let
18
      me tell you where we are on that.
               On Monday, the 19th of March, I have eleven
19
      sentencings scheduled; a heavy day is seven.
20
               On Monday the 26th I have eight sentencings
21
22
      scheduled.
23
               I have another ten set on Monday, March 12th that
24
      we're going to have to move because I have got to be in D.C.
25
      that day. And we're going to have to fit them into spaces
```

that we haven't yet identified.

So my point is: I just don't have those Mondays available. And I can't push them into April, because when I get into April, if I'm in town, the Mondays are all completely booked with sentencings. So that's not an option. If it was, I would readily give it to you.

The other issue concerning the longer days that you mentioned, I think what I told you when I set the trial time is that I will get you five-and-a-half hours of trial time a day. If we start at nine o'clock and we hold ourselves to 15-minute breaks in the morning and the afternoon, which we will do, and a one-hour break at lunch, then you'll have five-and-a-half hours by 4:00 p.m.

Most days we have a hearing set at 4:30 during trial.

And by the time we get there every day, we'll have a hearing in another case set at 4:30.

We have that half-hour between 4:00 and 4:30 because I find after we say "good-bye" to the jury at 4:00, there are invariably some issues we need to talk about. I need to look at whatever is coming up at 4:30, the staff needs a break and we don't have any place else to put those 4:30 hearings.

So what I can do, if we're running efficiently and not having a lot of difficulty at the end of the day, is we can push those days to 4:15 and pick up time that way.

There may be some days when those hearings aren't

2/16/18

MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC TELEPHONIC HEARING

1 going to be important or don't get set or get canceled where 2 we can go to 4:30. But the point is most of those evenings or late afternoons are already booked with hearings and will be. 3 So I don't -- I can't give you any assurance now that 5 we're going to be able to pick up an extra half-hour or 45 6 minutes per day. 7 What I will do is look at this issue as we go through It may be that if we're really getting pinched, 8 there's a day or two where we ask the jurors if they can come 9 10 in at 8:30 and start then or, you know, maybe we can shorten 11 the lunch breaks on a few days. We can pick up some time here 12 and there, but that's the best we're going to be able to do. 13 And as I've mentioned to you, my last available day 14 for that trial is Friday, March 30th. I'm in D.C. the next 15 Monday for a meeting I have to be at. So we're sort of locked 16 into that amount of time and we'll just have to make due with 17 it as best we can. MR. LOPEZ: Well, I understand, Judge. I appreciate 18 what you just said that, you know, if we do come across 19 issues, and we have all been through trials like that where, 20 you know, we have to adjust, you know, fifteen, 20 minutes 21 22 here and we'll play it by --23 We're going to do our best to confine this case to 24 the hours allotted. But as you know, stuff happens in the 25 middle of trial that affects that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I appreciate the Court understanding that we may need to extend even fifteen or 20 minutes here or there as we qo. And I just wanted to bring it to the Court's attention that we probably -- that there's a good possibility that we may need that and we'll give the Court advance notice, especially if it's an expert or if there is a --I know that even Mr. North has some issues with some of the lay witnesses that we're subpoenaing or they have to be certain places at certain times during this trial. Anyway, I want to thank the Court for understanding and being willing to work with us on that. THE COURT: All right. Was there a matter about --I do want to mention something on the exhibits before we leave that. We have here at the court and you may have seen it in other courts, a large screen with a clean computer attached to it that we call the JERS system. J-E-R-S. It's the Jury Evidence Recording System. And what we would like you to do when you come up with your final exhibit list is provide Traci with a disk that has each of those exhibits on it. And you can have your technical folks or somebody else talk to Traci to figure out exactly the format. And when we admit an exhibit in evidence, that exhibit will go from your disk into the JERS system. So that

at the end of trial, the jury has all of the exhibits electronically in that computer and they can scroll through them in the jury room.

Juries have found that to be enormously helpful rather than having boxes and boxes of exhibits that have to be handed around, one juror at a time. This is a big screen they can all see it and it's worked really well. They don't have the ability to alter it. They can't use the computer for any other purpose. We don't put depositions on them. They're just exhibits that are admitted into evidence.

So keep that in mind and be sure to communicate with Traci so that you get us the right electronic format of your exhibits before the Final Pretrial Conference so we can use that system when the jury retires to deliberate.

Any questions on that?

MR. LOPEZ: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Mark O'Connor.

I had one other issue to raise, and that would be if the parties are permitted to file a trial brief and what would the schedule be. I believe on our side we're considering preparing a trial brief and would like to file one. And we were suggesting filing trial briefs by March 9th.

THE COURT: March 9th does not work because I have no time between March 9th and the start of trial to read trial briefs. Well, wait a minute. Let me think. Is that true? I

```
1
      will have airplane time. Let me look at the schedule.
 2
               MR. O'CONNOR: Judge, that is a Friday.
 3
               THE COURT: Pardon? I know that's a Friday.
 4
               I'm on a plane to D.C. that weekend and I'm working
 5
      Saturday on other cases, so that's what I'm trying to figure
 6
      out.
 7
               You know, I think I could read trial briefs on the
 8
      flight home on the evening of March 13th because I don't think
 9
      I will be preparing for any other hearing. So, yea, it's okay
10
      for you to get them to me by March 19th -- I'm sorry -- March
      9th. How long is this trial brief going to be, Mr. O'Connor?
11
12
               MR. O'CONNOR: Well, Your Honor, I would have to talk
13
      to our Law Committee, but I'm sure we can talk about that and
14
      submit a proposal to you and talk to the other side about page
      limits.
15
16
               THE COURT: Well, I don't think it needs a lot of
17
      deliberation. I'm going to say 15 pages per side for trial
18
      briefs.
               MR. O'CONNOR: All right. That would be great.
19
               THE COURT: Because all you need to do -- I mean, the
20
      whole point of a trial brief from my view is to acquaint me
21
22
      with the law that you think is most relevant with the issues
23
      that you think may come up during the course of trial.
24
               Obviously, I am going to be looking at jury
25
      instructions and working on that at the same time. So, yea,
```

```
1
      if you want to each side submit 15-page trial briefs by March
 2
      9th, that would be fine.
 3
               Traci, could you put a note at the end of the day on
 4
      the 9th to make sure I get those electronically before I leave
 5
      for D.C.
 6
               MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate
 7
      that.
               THE COURT: All right. Are there other issues that
 8
      you all need to raise today?
 9
10
               MR. LOPEZ: Just one -- this is not a new issue, Your
11
      Honor, but based on our conversation about objections to
12
      exhibits. And I -- can we assume that we do not have to
13
      submit our exhibit list to you with objections affixed to the
14
      exhibits? I mean, that's something we will handle at the time
      of trial.
15
16
               THE COURT: I don't know what you're asking.
17
               Explain what you mean, Mr. Lopez.
               MR. LOPEZ: Well, I don't have the pretrial, but I
18
      know that at least our team, the plaintiffs' team is working
19
      under the assumption that we have to -- when we submit the
20
      exhibit list -- and I could be wrong about this and maybe I
21
22
      got bad information -- that we're supposed to include with the
23
      exhibit any objections we may have to the admissibility.
24
               But it sounds to me like we don't have to do that.
25
               THE COURT: Well, I think what you're referring to,
```

```
1
      Mr. Lopez, is that the Final Pretrial Order that you --
 2
               Well, it's a Proposed Final Pretrial Order that both
 3
      sides submit, should have attached to that Order as part of it
 4
      the list of exhibits each side is going to assert, plus the
 5
      objections that each opponent is asserting with respect to
 6
      those exhibits. That should be part of the Final Pretrial
 7
      Order.
 8
               Now, it would be helpful for you to give us a
      separate exhibit list that Traci can use to keep track of what
 9
10
      she admits -- or what's admitted and what isn't.
11
               That does not have to have objections on it. But
12
      somewhere in that Final Pretrial Order I need to be able to
13
      look and determine whether the hearsay objection to Exhibit
14
      272 was preserved. And so that does need to be with that
15
      exhibit in what is typically a chart, is what the parties will
      give me, listing the exhibit and then a separate column
16
17
      listing the objections.
18
               Does that answer your question?
               MR. LOPEZ: It does.
19
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
               Mr. North, did you have other matters to raise?
21
22
               MR. NORTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: All right.
24
               Let me talk to you all about one other thing then.
25
               I think I would like to get on the calendar dates for
```

the third and fourth Bellwether trials. And I have looked at the calendar and want to tell you the dates that I would like to schedule them so that you are aware of them and let me know if there is a concern.

I want to schedule the third one in September. And the dates that I would set aside would be September 10th through the 14th, which is a Monday through Friday; 18th through the 21st, which is a Tuesday through Friday; and 24th through 28th, which is a Monday through Friday.

And then the fourth would be in November. And that would be November 5 through 9, which is a Monday through Friday; November 12 through 16, which is a Monday through Friday; November 19 and 20, which are the Monday and Tuesday of Thanksgiving week; and November 26 through 28, which is the Monday through Wednesday after Thanksgiving.

I don't know for sure that I can try the cases on those times. I'm going to try to. But if I can't, as I have mentioned before, the idea would be to get another judge at our court to try one of those or both, if need be, although I think I could do at least one.

And there have been a couple of judges here who have expressed a willingness to do that, so I think we will be able to get another judge to try the cases so they would go on those dates.

Does either side see any immediate problems with that

```
1
      schedule?
 2
               MR. LOPEZ: This is Ramon Lopez.
 3
               I don't, Your Honor.
               Mark, I think we are prepared to -- you know,
 4
 5
      whatever the schedule is, we'll adjust to the schedule.
 6
               MR. O'CONNOR: Yea. No. I agree with Mr. Lopez.
 7
               MR. NORTH: Your Honor, Richard North. I think we
      don't have any problems with that.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. All right.
10
               Well, we will put those dates in the minute entry
11
      that comes out after today. And I'm going to put them on the
12
      calendar and hold those dates available.
13
               And then as those dates draw closer, we can talk
14
      about, you know, a Final Pretrial Conference date and motion
15
      in limine deadlines and whether or not I need to get another
      judge onboard to help with them, but at least we'll have those
16
17
      on the calendar.
               MR. LOPEZ: And also, Your Honor, the identity of
18
      those next two. I don't know that that's been determined.
19
               THE COURT: Yes.
20
               MR. LOPEZ: I don't think it has.
21
22
               THE COURT: That's a good point.
23
               Why don't you see if you can agree on that.
24
               MR. LOPEZ: Okay.
25
               THE COURT: You know, we've got three Bellwether
```

```
1
      cases left that I have designated. Some came from plaintiffs'
 2
      list, some came from defendants'. My assumption would be that
      one of those would be a case from the plaintiffs' list and the
 3
 4
      other case from the defendants' list and why don't you see if
 5
      you can agree upon those.
 6
               If you can't, I think you know how I will resolve it.
 7
               MR. LOPEZ: Right.
               MR. NORTH: Your Honor, Richard North. If I can
 8
      mention one other thing, you said earlier that we could file
 9
10
      the exhibit lists on the 28th. And then you said just a
11
      moment ago that you wanted the objections filed as a part of
12
      the Pretrial Order which is due the 23rd.
               Am I correct that we can file those objections with
13
14
      the exhibits at the same time as the list on the 28th?
15
               THE COURT: Well, why don't you just -- let's just
      move the Final Pretrial Order date to the 28th. I always look
16
17
      over that before the Final Pretrial Conference. But if you
      get that in on February 28th, then I will have some time on
18
      March 1st.
19
               Let me make sure that's right. Yes. I'll have time
20
      on March 1st to look through it.
21
22
               Does that solve the issue, Mr. North?
23
               MR. NORTH: Yes.
                                 That's fine, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: We'll just move the Final Pretrial Order
25
      to February 28th.
```

```
All right. Anything else?
 1
 2
               MR. LOPEZ: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 3
               MR. NORTH: No, Your Honor.
 4
               MR. LERNER: Nothing from the defense.
               MR. O'CONNOR: No, Your Honor.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Okay.
 7
               Have a good weekend. Thank you.
          (Proceedings adjourned at 2:40 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1			
2	CERTIFICATE		
3			
4	I, ELIZABETH A. LEMKE, do hereby certify that I am		
5	duly appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter		
6	for the United States District Court for the District of		
7	Arizona.		
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute		
9	a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion		
10	of the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled		
11	cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript		
12	was prepared under my direction and control.		
13	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 8th day of March,		
14	2018.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	s/Elizabeth A. Lemke ELIZABETH A. LEMKE, RDR, CRR, CPE		
20	EDIZABETH A. DENKE, KDK, CKK, CFE		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			